UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	No. 1:11-cr-56-01
-V-)	
)	Honorable Paul L. Maloney
EDWARD DESHAUN BAKER,)	
Defendant.)	
)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Defendant Edward Baker requests the Court modify his sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) (ECF No. 125). Defendant relies on Amendment 821 to the Sentencing Guidelines, which the Sentencing Commission has made retroactive. The Court will deny the motion.

Once a district court imposes a sentence, the court may not modify that sentence except in limited circumstances. *United States v. Hunter*, 12 F.4th 555, 561 (6th Cir. 2021). One such exception, § 3582(c), permits a district court to modify a sentence when the Sentencing Commission makes guidelines modifications that lower the sentencing guidelines range for that defendant. 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). The district court "may reduce the term of imprisonment, after considering the factors set forth in section 3553(a) to the extent they are applicable, if such a reduction is consistent with applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission." *Id.*

For motions brought under § 3582(c), district courts conduct a two-step review. See *United States v. Jones*, 980 F.3d 1098, 1107 (6th Cir. 2020) (quoting *Dillon v. United States*,

560 U.S. 817, 826-27 (2010)). In motions that rely on Amendment 821, the court must first determine if the Sentencing Commission's changes actually lowered the defendant's guidelines range and whether the reduction is consistent with applicable policy statements. Second, the court must consider the § 3553(a) factors to decide whether to exercise its discretion to reduce the defendant's sentence. Defendant's motion fails at the first step.

Amendment 821 contains two retroactive provisions. Part A of Amendment 821 decreases "status points" by one point for defendants with seven or more criminal history points and eliminates "status points" for defendants with six or fewer criminal history points. "Status points" are those points that were applied under then United States Sentencing Guideline (U.S.S.G.) § 4A1.1(d) if the defendant committed his or her federal offense while on probation, parole, supervised release, imprisonment, work release, or escape status. Part B of Amendment 821 provides for a decrease of two offense levels for "zero-point offenders" (no criminal history points), whose offense did not involve specific aggravating factors.

In his motion, Defendant specifically invokes the retroactive changes to "status points" in Part A of Amendment 821. The Court determines that Defendant is ineligible for consideration of modification of sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and taking into account the policy statement set forth at U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 because even accounting for the amendment, Defendant's guideline range has not been reduced.

Defendant scored 11 criminal history points before the application of any status points (PSR, ¶86). After applying the recent guideline amendment, defendant's status points are decreased from two to one. This results in a total criminal history score of 12, which would ordinarily place Defendant in category V. However, Defendant is a career offender

under U.S.S.G. 4B1.1, which places him in category VI, regardless of any status points

received in the criminal history points calculation. The amended guidelines concerning

status points do not affect the calculation of his sentence. See United States v. Hamilton,

No. CR 11-10133-FDS, 2023 WL 8880344, at *1 (D. Mass. Dec. 22, 2023) (career offender

ineligible for reduced sentence under Guideline Amendment 821); Razo v. United States,

No. 1:11-CR-00184-JAW-1, 2023 WL 8890804, at *2 (D. Me. Dec. 26, 2023) (same);

United States v. Shaw, No. 05-20073-JWL, 2023 WL 8234308, at *1 (D. Kan. Nov. 28,

2023) (same). Accordingly, Defendant is not eligible for a reduced sentence because the

guideline calculation has not changed.

Accordingly, the court DENIES the motion for a sentence reduction (ECF No. 125).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: August 2, 2024

/s/ Paul L. Maloney

Paul L. Maloney

United States District Judge